Co-Evolution of Model-Based Tests for Industrial Automotive Software



Proposed Methodology



Model Evolution	Impact on Tests		
	•••		
Add Outport	Add output signal		
Modify Outport	•••		

Modified Function Block | Alter Output Values **Delete Function Block**



Compare Versions

Using model differencing tools, we will determine exactly how two versions of a model differ.

ln1	In2	Out1		ln1	In2	Out1	Out2
1	1	2		1	1	1	?
1	2	3		1	2	2	?
	•••					•••	
5	5	10		5	5	25	?.
5	6	11		5	6	30	?
100	100	200		100	100	10000	?
100	101	201		100	101	10100	?
	•••]			•••	

Apply Updates (when possible) For possible changes in the test cases, updates are made directly to the source test files. Updates such as changing values will be simple, however added signals become more difficult and may require manual interaction.

Determine Impact

Based on an initial **Evolution Study** we will search our catalog of evolution impacts to determine what, if any, impact the evolution has on tests.

ln1	In2	Out1	Out2		
1	1	1	1		
1	2	2	2		
•••					
5	5	25	5		
5	6	30	6		
•••					
100	100	10000	100		
100	101	10100	101		
•••					

Manual Interaction (when required)

There may be differences in model versions that require manual interaction by the test engineer, such as the introduction of a new signal (input or output), which will require a set of values for the signal.

Identify Updates

Based on the determined impact, identify the tests that need to be updated and which signals, values and times need to be adjusted. Additionally, identify any additional tests required.

l n 1	1	0	ut1	Out2		
IUT	INZ	Old	New	Old	New	
1	1	2	1	-	1	
1	2	3	2	-	2	
•••						
5	5	10	25	-	5	
5	6	11	30	-	6	
•••						
100	100	200	10000	-	100	
100	101	201	10100	-	101	

Present Updated Test Suite

Our prototype implementation will then display the results of the co-evolution to the test engineer, summarizing the changes, and presenting the option to run the new test suite, examine, or save and quit.

Validation	Limitations & Risks	References
 Correctness Benchmark Comparisons Performance Timed Experiments Usability User Surveys 	 Availability of industrial models Obtaining results for user surveys Constrained to one modeling technology 	 E.J. Rapos. "Understanding the Effects of Model Evolution through Incremental Test Case Generation for UML-RT Models". MSc Thesis. Kingston, Canada, September 2012. Bart Meyers, Manuel Wimmer, Antonio Cicchetti, and Jonathan Sprinkle. A generic in-place transformation-based approach to structured model co- evolution. ECEASST, Volume 42, 2011. A. Cicchetti, D. Di Ruscio, R. Eramo, and A. Pierantonio. Automating coevolution in model-driven engineering. In Proceedings of the 12th International IEEE Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Conference, EDOC '08, pages 222-231, September 2008. Philipp Zech, Michael Felderer, Philipp Kalb, and Ruth Breu. A generic platform for model-based regression testing. Leveraging Applications of Formal Methods, Verification and Validation. Technologies for Mastering Change, 7609:112-126, 2012.